arXiv:math/0508418v1 [math.NT] 22 Aug 2005

On the large sieve with square moduli

Stephan Baier

22.08.05

Address of the author:

Stephan Baier
Jeffery Hall
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Queen‘s University
University Ave
Kingston, Ontario, Canada
K7L 3N6

e-mail: sbaier@mast.queensu.ca

Abstract: We prove an estimate for the large sieve with square moduli which improves a recent result of L. Zhao. Our method uses an idea of D. Wolke and some results from Fourier analysis.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11N35, 11L07, 11B57

Key words: large sieve, square moduli, Farey fractions in short intervals, estimates on exponential sums

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we reserve the symbols c_i $(i = 1, 2, ...)$ for absolute positive constants, and the symbol ε for an arbitrary (small) positive constant. Further, we suppose that (a_n) is a sequence of complex numbers and that $Q, N \geq 1$. We set

$$S(\alpha) := \sum_{n \leq N} a_n e(n\alpha)$$

and

$$Z := \int\limits_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 \mathrm{d}\alpha = \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2.$$

In its modern form, the large sieve is an inequality connecting a discrete and the continuous mean value Z of the trigometrical polynomial $S(\alpha)$, *i.e.* an inequality of the form

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} |S(\alpha_r)|^2 \leq f(N; \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r) Z.$$

One formulation of the large sieve is as follows.

Theorem 1: *Let $(\alpha_r)_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Suppose that $0 < \Delta \leq 1/2$ and $R \in \mathbb{N}$. Put*

$$K(\Delta) := \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{\substack{r = 1 \\ ||\alpha_r - \alpha|| \leq \Delta}}^{R} 1, \tag{1}$$

where $||x||$ denotes the distance of a real x to its closest integer. Then

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} |S(\alpha_r)|^2 \le c_1 K(\Delta)(N+\Delta^{-1})Z.$$

The above Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.11 in [7].

In many applications, the sequence $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_R$ consists of Farey fractions. If $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_R$ is the sequence of all fractions a/q with $1 \le a \le q$, $(a,q) = 1$ and $q \le Q$, then the above Theorem 1 implies, on choosing $\Delta := 1/Q^2$, that

$$\sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \left| S\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\right|^2 \ll (N+Q^2)Z.$$

This is the classical large sieve inequality of Bombieri [4].

Recently, L. Zhao [10] considered the case when the moduli q are squares. A careful investigation of the term $K(\Delta)$ for this situation led him to the estimate

$$(2) \quad \sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left| S\left(\frac{a}{q^2}\right)\right|^2 \ll (\log 2Q)\left(Q^3 + (N\sqrt{Q} + \sqrt{N}Q^2)N^{\varepsilon}\right)Z.$$

In [1] we proved that the middle term $N\sqrt{Q}$ on the right-hand side of (2) can be replaced by N, which gives an improvement of (2) if $Q \ll N^{1/3-\varepsilon}$.

In the present paper we prove

Theorem 2: *We have*

$$(3) \qquad \sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left| S\left(\frac{a}{q^2}\right)\right|^2 \ll (\log Q)N^{\varepsilon}\left(Q^3 + N^{5/4}\right)Z.$$

This bound is sharper than (2) if $N^{3/8+\varepsilon} \ll Q \ll N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$.

To establish Theorem 2, we combine a method of D. Wolke [9] with some standard tools from harmonic analysis, like the Poisson summation formula and bounds for exponential integrals. We also use a bound for quadratic Gauß sums.

2 Counting Farey fractions in short intervals

To prove Theorem 2, we shall use the general large sieve bound given in Theorem 1.

In the sequel, suppose that $Q_0 \geq 1$, and let $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_R$ be the sequence of Farey fractions a/q^2 with $Q_0 \leq q^2 \leq 2Q_0$, $1 \leq a \leq q^2$ and $(a, q) = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \Delta \leq 1/2$. Put

$$I(\alpha) := [\alpha - \Delta, \alpha + \Delta] \quad \text{and} \quad P(\alpha) := \sum_{\substack{Q_0 \leq q^2 \leq 2Q_0 \\ (a,q)=1 \\ a/q^2 \in I(\alpha)}} 1.$$

Then we have

$$K(\Delta) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} P(\alpha),$$

where $K(\Delta)$ is defined as in (1). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2 reduces to estimating $P(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and choosing the parameter Δ appropriately.

To estimate $P(\alpha)$, we begin with a method of D. Wolke [9]. Let

$$\tau := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}}. \tag{4}$$

Then, by Dirichlet's approximation theorem, α can be written in the form

$$\alpha = \frac{b}{r} + z, \quad \text{where} \quad r \leq \tau,\ (b, r) = 1,\ |z| \leq \frac{1}{r\tau}. \tag{5}$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate $P(b/r + z)$ for all b, r, z satisfying (5).

We further note that we can restrict ourselves to the case when

$$z \geq \Delta. \tag{6}$$

If $|z| < \Delta$, then

$$P(\alpha) \leq P\left(\frac{b}{r} - \Delta\right) + P\left(\frac{b}{r} + \Delta\right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \leq \frac{1}{r\tau}.$$

Therefore this case can be reduced to the case $|z| = \Delta$. Moreover, as $P(\alpha) = P(-\alpha)$, we can choose z positive. So we can assume (6).

Summarizing the above observations, we deduce

Lemma 1: *We have*

$$K(\Delta) \le 2 \max_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{N} \\ r \le 1/\sqrt{\Delta}}} \max_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (b,r)=1}} \max_{\Delta \le z \le \sqrt{\Delta}/r} P\left(\frac{b}{r} + z\right). \tag{7}$$

The next lemma provides a first estimate for $P\left(b/r + z\right)$.

Lemma 2: *Suppose that the conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied. Suppose further that*

$$\frac{Q_0 \Delta}{z} \le \delta \le Q_0. \tag{8}$$

Then,

$$P\left(\frac{b}{r} + z\right) \le c_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \left(\sum_{\sqrt{y} - c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \le q \le \sqrt{y} + c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz \le m \le (y+4\delta)rz \\ m \equiv -bq^2 \ mod \ r \\ m \ne 0}} 1 \right) \mathrm{d}y \right). \tag{9}$$

Proof: By $\delta \le Q_0$, we have

$$P(\alpha) \le \frac{1}{\delta} \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} P(\alpha, y, \delta) \, \mathrm{d}y, \tag{10}$$

where

$$P(\alpha, y, \delta) := \sum_{\substack{y - \delta \le q^2 \le y + \delta \\ (a,q)=1 \\ a/q^2 \in I(\alpha)}} 1.$$

Now, for

$$y-\delta \le q^2 \le y+\delta, \qquad (a,q)=1, \qquad \frac{a}{q^2}\in I(\alpha),$$

we have $q^2(\alpha-\Delta)\le a\le q^2(\alpha+\Delta)$ or, by (5) and (6), $(y-\delta)r(z-\Delta)\le ar-bq^2\le (y+\delta)r(z+\Delta)$. If $ar-bq^2=0$, then $r=q^2$ since $(a,q)=1=(b,r)$. Hence,

$$(11) \qquad P(\alpha,y,\delta)\le \nu(y)+\sum_{\sqrt{y-\delta}\le q\le\sqrt{y+\delta}}\ \sum_{\substack{(y-\delta)r(z-\Delta)\le m\le (y+\delta)r(z+\Delta)\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r\\ m\neq 0}} 1,$$

where

$$\nu(y):=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } y-\delta\le r\le y+\delta,\\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Whenever $1\le Q_0\le y\le 2Q_0$ and $\delta\le Q_0$, we have, by Taylor's formula, $\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le\sqrt{y-\delta}\le\sqrt{y+\delta}\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}$ for a suitable positive constant c_2. Furthermore, by (8), we have $(y-4\delta)rz\le(y-\delta)r(z-\Delta)\le(y+\delta)r(z+\Delta)\le(y+4\delta)rz$. Thus, (11) implies

$$(12) \quad P(\alpha,y,\delta)\le \nu(y)+\sum_{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\ \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz\le m\le (y+4\delta)rz\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r\\ m\neq 0}} 1.$$

Combining (10) and (12), we obtain (9). □

3 Estimation of $P(b/r+z)$ - first way

In this section we use some tools from harmonic analysis to establish the following bound.

Theorem 3: *Suppose that the conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied. Then,*

$$(13) \qquad P\left(\frac{b}{r}+z\right)\le c_4\Delta^{-\varepsilon}\left(Q_0^{3/2}\Delta+Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1}+\Delta^{-1/4}\right).$$

To derive Theorem 3 from Lemma 2, we need the following standard results from Fourier analysis.

Lemma 3: (Poisson summation formula, [3]) *Let $f(X)$ be a complex-valued function on the real numbers that is piecewise continuous with only finitely many discontinuities and for all real numbers a satisfies*

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\lim_{x\to a^-} f(x) + \lim_{x\to a^+} f(x)\right).$$

Moreover, suppose that $f(x) \ll c_5(1+|x|)^{-c}$ for some $c > 1$. Then,

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n),$$

where

$$\hat{f}(x) := \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)e(xy)\mathrm{d}y,$$

the Fourier transform of $f(x)$.

Lemma 4: (see [10], for example) *For $x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ define*

$$\phi(x) := \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{2x}\right)^2.$$

Set

$$\phi(0) := \lim_{x\to 0} \phi(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{4}.$$

Then $\phi(x) \geq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$, and the Fourier transform of the function $\phi(x)$ is

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = \frac{\pi^2}{4}\max\{1-|s|, 0\}.$$

Lemma 5: (see Lemma 3.1. in [6]) *Let $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable. Assume that $|F'(x)| \geq u > 0$ for all $x \in [a,b]$. Then,*

$$\left|\int\limits_a^b e^{iF(x)}\mathrm{d}x\right| \ll \frac{c_6}{u}.$$

Lemma 6: (see Lemma 4.3.1. in [2]) *Let $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be twice continuously differentiable. Assume that $|F''(x)| \geq u > 0$ for all $x \in [a,b]$. Then,*

$$\left| \int\limits_a^b e^{iF(x)} \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \frac{c_7}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

We shall also need the following estimate for quadratic Gauß sums.

Lemma 7: (see page 93 in [6]) *Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(k,c) = 1$. Then,*

$$\sum_{d=1}^{r} e\left(\frac{kd^2 + ld}{c}\right) \leq \sqrt{2c}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3: By Lemma 4, the double sum on the right-hand side of (9) can be estimated by

$$\sum_{\sqrt{y} - c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \leq q \leq \sqrt{y} + c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}} \quad \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz \leq m \leq (y+4\delta)rz \\ m \equiv -bq^2 \bmod r \\ m \neq 0}} 1 \tag{14}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(\frac{q - \sqrt{y}}{2c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \equiv -bq^2 \bmod r}} \phi\left(\frac{m - yrz}{8\delta rz}\right) \mathrm{d}y.$$

Using Lemma 3 after a linear change of variables, we transform the inner sum on the right-hand side of (14) into

$$\sum_{\substack{m \in Z \\ m \equiv -bq^2 \bmod r}} \phi\left(\frac{m - yrz}{8\delta rz}\right) = 8\delta z \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{jbq^2}{r} + jyz\right) \hat{\phi}(8j\delta z).$$

Therefore, we get for the double sum on the right-hand side of (14)

$$\sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(\frac{q-\sqrt{y}}{2c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right) \sum_{\substack{m\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r}} \phi\left(\frac{m-yrz}{8\delta rz}\right) \tag{15}$$
$$= 8\delta z\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} e(jyz)\hat{\phi}(8j\delta z)\sum_{d=1}^{r^*} e\left(\frac{j^*bd^2}{r^*}\right) \sum_{\substack{k\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}\\ k\equiv d \bmod r^*}} \phi\left(\frac{k-\sqrt{y}}{2c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right),$$

where $r^* := r/(r,j)$ and $j^* := j/(r,j)$. Again using Lemma 3 after a linear change of variables, we transform the inner sum on the right-hand side of (15) into

$$\sum_{\substack{k\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}\\ k\equiv d \bmod r^*}} \phi\left(\frac{k-\sqrt{y}}{2c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right) = \frac{2c_2\delta}{r^*\sqrt{Q_0}}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} e\left(l\cdot\frac{d-\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2c_2l\delta}{r^*\sqrt{Q_0}}\right). \tag{16}$$

Suppose that δ satisfies the condition (8). Then, from (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta}\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(\frac{q-\sqrt{y}}{2c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right) \sum_{\substack{m\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r}} \phi\left(\frac{m-yrz}{8\delta rz}\right)\mathrm{d}y \tag{17}$$
$$\le \frac{16c_2\delta z}{\sqrt{Q_0}}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{\hat{\phi}(8j\delta z)}{r^*}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2c_2l\delta}{r^*\sqrt{Q_0}}\right)\left|\sum_{d=1}^{r^*} e\left(\frac{j^*bd^2+ld}{r^*}\right)\right|$$
$$\times\left|\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz-l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\,\mathrm{d}y\right|.$$

Applying the Lemmas 4 and 7 to the right-hand side of (17), we deduce

$$\frac{1}{\delta}\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi\left(\frac{q-\sqrt{y}}{c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}}\right) \sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{Z}\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r}} \phi\left(\frac{m-yrz}{8\delta rz}\right) \mathrm{d}y \tag{18}$$

$$\le \frac{c_8\delta z}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{|j|\le 1/(8\delta z)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^*}} \sum_{|l|\le r^*\sqrt{Q_0}/(2c_2\delta)} \left| \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz - l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\ \mathrm{d}y\right|.$$

If $j=0$ and $l=0$, then the integral on the right-hand side of (18) is equal to Q_0. If $j\ne 0$ and $l=0$, then

$$\left| \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz - l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\ \mathrm{d}y\right| \le \frac{1}{|j|z}.$$

If $j=0$ and $l\ne 0$, then

$$\left| \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz - l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\ \mathrm{d}y\right| \le \frac{c_9 Q_0^{1/2}}{|l|}$$

by Lemma 5 (take into account that $r^*=1$ if $j=0$). If $j\ne 0$ and $l\ne 0$, then Lemma 6 yields

$$\left| \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz - l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\ \mathrm{d}y\right| \le \frac{c_{10}\sqrt{r^*}Q_0^{3/4}}{\sqrt{|l|}}.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (18) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
(19)\qquad & \frac{c_8\delta z}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{|j|\le 1/(8\delta z)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^*}} \sum_{|l|\le r^*\sqrt{Q_0}/(2c_2\delta)} \left| \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} e\left(jyz - l\cdot\frac{\sqrt{y}}{r^*}\right)\, \mathrm{d}y \right| \\
\le\ & c_{11}\delta \left(z\sqrt{Q_0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \frac{1}{j\sqrt{r^*}} + z \sum_{1\le l\le \sqrt{Q_0}/(2c_2\delta)} \frac{1}{l} + \right. \\
& \left. zQ_0^{1/4} \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \sum_{1\le l\le r^*\sqrt{Q_0}/(2c_2\delta)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} \right) \\
\le\ & c_{12} \left(\delta z\sqrt{Q_0} + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \frac{1}{j\sqrt{r^*}} + \delta z\Delta^{-\varepsilon} + \right. \\
& \left. z\sqrt{\delta}Q_0^{1/2} \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \sqrt{r^*} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we evaluate the sums over j in the last line of (19). By the definition of r^*, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(20)\qquad \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \frac{1}{j\sqrt{r^*}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{t|r} \sqrt{t} \sum_{\substack{1\,\le\, j\,\le\, 1/(8\delta z)\\ (r,j)\,=\,t}} \frac{1}{j} \\
&\le \frac{c_{13}\log(2+1/(8\delta z))}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{t|r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\
&\le c_{14}\Delta^{-\varepsilon} r^{-1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(21)\qquad \sum_{1\le j\le 1/(8\delta z)} \sqrt{r^*} &= \sqrt{r} \sum_{t|r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{\substack{1\,\le\, j\,\le\, 1/(8\delta z)\\ (r,j)\,=\,t}} 1 \\
&\le \frac{\sqrt{r}}{8\delta z} \sum_{t|r} \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \\
&\le \frac{c_{15}\sqrt{r}}{\delta z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 2, (14), (18), (19), (20) and (21), we obtain

$$P\left(\frac{b}{r}+z\right) \le c_4\Delta^{-\varepsilon}\left(1+\delta z\sqrt{Q_0}+\delta Q_0^{-1/2}r^{-1/2}+\delta^{-1/2}Q_0^{1/2}\sqrt{r}\right). \tag{22}$$

Choosing $\delta := Q_0\Delta/z$, we infer the desired estimate from (22) and (5).□

4 Estimation of $P(b/r+z)$ - second way

In this section, we use elementary tools to derive the following bound for $P(b/r+z)$ from Lemma 2.

Theorem 4: *Suppose that the conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied. Then,*

$$P\left(\frac{b}{r}+z\right) \le c_{16}\Delta^{-\varepsilon}\left(1+Q_0rz+Q_0^{3/2}\Delta\right). \tag{23}$$

Proof: Rearranging the order of summation, the sum on the right-hand side of (9) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz\le m\le(y+4\delta)rz\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r\\ m\neq 0}} 1 \tag{24}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz\le m\le(y+4\delta)rz\\ m\neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\\ q^2\equiv -\overline{b}m \bmod r}} 1,$$

where $\overline{b}$ mod r is the multiplicative inverse of b mod r, *i.e.* $\overline{b}b \equiv 1 \bmod r$. The double sum on the right-hand side of (24) can be split up as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz \le m \le (y+4\delta)rz \\ m \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \le q \le \sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \\ q^2 \equiv -\overline{b}m \bmod r}} 1 \tag{25}$$

$$= \sum_{t|r} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz/t \le m' \le (y+4\delta)rz/t \\ (m',r/t)=1 \\ m' \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \le q \le \sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \\ q^2 \equiv 0 \bmod t \\ q^2/t \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{t|r} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz/t \le m' \le (y+4\delta)rz/t \\ (m',r/t)=1 \\ m' \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{q' \in \mathcal{S}_t(y) \\ q' \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t}} 1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_t(y) := \left\{ q^2/t \ : \ \sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \le q \le \sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0} \ \text{ and } \ q^2 \equiv 0 \bmod t \right\}.$$

In the following, we determine the structure of $\mathcal{S}_t(y)$.

Let $t = p_1^{v_1} \cdots p_n^{v_n}$ be the prime number factorization of t. For $i = 1, ..., n$ let

$$u_i := \begin{cases} v_i, & \text{if } v_i \text{ is even,} \\ \\ v_i + 1, & \text{if } v_i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Put

$$f_t := p_1^{u_1/2} \cdots p_n^{u_n/2}.$$

Then q^2 $(q \in \mathbb{N})$ is divisible by t iff q is divisible by f_t. Thus,

$$\mathcal{S}_t(y) = \left\{ q_1^2 g_t \ : \ \left(\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \le q_1 \le \left(\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \right\}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$g_t := \frac{f_t^2}{t} = p_1^{u_1-v_1} \cdots p_n^{u_n-v_n}.$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{S}_t(y)| \le 1 + \frac{2c_2\delta}{f_t\sqrt{Q_0}}. \tag{27}$$

By (26), we get

$$\sum_{\substack{q' \in \mathcal{S}_t(y) \\ q' \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\left(\sqrt{y} - c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \le q \le \left(\sqrt{y} + c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \\ q^2 g_t \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t}} 1, \tag{28}$$

and from (27) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\left(\sqrt{y} - c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \le q \le \left(\sqrt{y} + c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\right)/f_t \\ q^2 g_t \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t}} 1 \\ &\le \ c_{17}s(r,t,m')\left(1 + \frac{t\delta}{rf_t\sqrt{Q_0}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $s(r,t,m')$ is the number of solutions mod r/t of the congruence

$$g_t x^2 \equiv -\overline{b}m' \bmod r/t. \tag{30}$$

Next, we derive a bound for $s(t,r,m')$. In the sequel, we suppose that

$$(m', r/t) = 1, \tag{31}$$

as in (25). If $(g_t, r/t) > 1$, then $s(t,r,m') = 0$ by (31) and $(\overline{b}, r/t) = 1$. Therefore, we can assume that $(g_t, t/r) = 1$. Let $\overline{g_t}$ mod r/t be the multiplicative inverse of g_t mod r/t, *i.e.* $\overline{g_t}g_t \equiv 1 \bmod r/t$. Put $l := -\overline{g_t}\overline{b}m'$. Then (30) is equivalent to

$$x^2 \equiv l \bmod k, \tag{32}$$

where $k := r/t$. Taking into account that $(l,k) = 1$, and using some elementary facts on the number of solutions of polynomial congruences modulo prime powers (see [8], for example), we see that (32) has at most 2 solutions if k is a power of an odd prime and at most 4 solutions if k is a power of 2. From this it follows that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(l,k) = 1$ there exist at most $2^{\omega(k)+1}$ solutions mod k to the congruence (32), where $\omega(k)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of k. Further, we have $2^{\omega(k)} \ll k^{\varepsilon/2}$ (see [5]). Thus, we obtain

$$s(r,t,m') \le c_{18}r^{\varepsilon/2}. \tag{33}$$

Suppose that the condition (8) is satisfied. Then, combining (24), (25), (28), (29) and (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(34)\qquad & \frac{1}{\delta}\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz\le m\le(y+4\delta)rz\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r\\ m\neq 0}} 1\ \mathrm{d}y \\ \le\ & c_{19}r^{\varepsilon/2}\sum_{t|r}\left(1+\frac{t\delta}{rf_t\sqrt{Q_0}}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{\delta}\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz/t\le m'\le(y+4\delta)rz/t\\ m'\neq 0}} 1\ \mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the integral on the right-hand side by

$$\begin{aligned}(35)\qquad & \int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz/t\le m'\le(y+4\delta)rz/t\\ m'\neq 0}} 1\ \mathrm{d}y \\ =\ & \sum_{\substack{(Q_0-4\delta)rz/t\le m'\le(2Q_0+4\delta)rz/t\\ m'\neq 0}} \int\limits_{\max\{Q_0,tm'/(rz)-4\delta\}}^{\min\{2Q_0,tm'/(rz)+4\delta\}} 1\ \mathrm{d}y \\ \le\ & 8\delta \sum_{\substack{(Q_0-4\delta)rz/t\le m'\le(2Q_0+4\delta)rz/t\\ m'\neq 0}} 1 \\ \le\ & \frac{72\delta Q_0 rz}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last line of the above inequality, we use (8) and the summation condition $m' \neq 0$. From (34) and (35), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}(36)\qquad & \frac{1}{\delta}\int\limits_{Q_0}^{2Q_0} \sum_{\sqrt{y}-c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{y}+c_2\delta/\sqrt{Q_0}} \sum_{\substack{(y-4\delta)rz\,\le\, m\,\le\,(y+4\delta)rz\\ m\equiv -bq^2 \bmod r\\ m\neq 0}} 1 \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\le c_{20} r^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{t|r} \left(1+\frac{t\delta}{rf_t\sqrt{Q_0}}\right)\frac{Q_0rz}{t} \\ &\le c_{21} r^{\varepsilon}\left(Q_0rz+\delta z\sqrt{Q_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we choose

$$\delta := \frac{Q_0\Delta}{z}, \tag{37}$$

which is in consistency with the condition (8). Combining (36), (37) and Lemma 2, we obtain the result of Theorem 4. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that the conditions (4), (5) and (6) are satisfied. Then the combination of the Theorems 3 and 4 yields

$$(38)\quad P\left(\frac{b}{r}+z\right) \le c_{22}\Delta^{-\varepsilon}\left(Q_0^{3/2}\Delta+\min\left\{Q_0rz, Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1}\right\}+\Delta^{-1/4}\right).$$

If

$$z \le \Delta^{1/2}Q_0^{-1/4}r^{-3/4},$$

then

$$\min\left\{Q_0rz, Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1}\right\} = Q_0rz \le Q_0^{3/4}\Delta^{1/2}r^{1/4}.$$

If

$$z > \Delta^{1/2}Q_0^{-1/4}r^{-3/4},$$

then

$$\min\left\{Q_0rz, Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1}\right\} = Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1} \le Q_0^{3/4}\Delta^{1/2}r^{1/4}.$$

From the above inequalities and (5), we deduce

$$\min\left\{Q_0rz, Q_0^{1/2}\Delta r^{-1/2}z^{-1}\right\} \le Q_0^{3/4}\Delta^{3/8}. \tag{39}$$

Furthermore,

$$Q_0^{3/4}\Delta^{3/8} = \sqrt{(Q_0^{3/2}\Delta)\cdot\Delta^{-1/4}} \le Q_0^{3/2}\Delta + \Delta^{-1/4}. \tag{40}$$

Combining (38), (39) and (40), we get

$$P\left(\frac{b}{r}+z\right) \le c_{23}\Delta^{-\varepsilon}\left(Q_0^{3/2}\Delta + \Delta^{-1/4}\right). \tag{41}$$

We now choose $\Delta := 1/N$. Then from Theorem 1, Lemma 1 and (41) it follows that

$$\sum_{\sqrt{Q_0}\le q\le\sqrt{2Q_0}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q^2} \left|S\left(\frac{a}{q^2}\right)\right|^2 \ll N^{\varepsilon}\left(Q_0^{3/2}+N^{5/4}\right)Z. \tag{42}$$

We can devide the interval $[1,Q]$ into $O(\log Q)$ subintervals of the form $\left[\sqrt{Q_0},\sqrt{2Q_0}\right]$, where $1 \le Q_0 \le Q^2$. Hence, the result of Theorem 2 follows from (42). □

Acknowledgement. This paper was written when the author held a postdoctoral position at the Harish-Chandra Research Institute at Allahabad (India). The author wishes to thank this institute for financial support.

References

[1] S. Baier, *On the large sieve with a sparse set of moduli*, preprint.

[2] J. Brüdern, *Einführung in die analytische Zahlentheorie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin ect., 1995.

[3] D. Bump, *Automorphic Forms and Representations*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 55, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[4] E. Bombieri, *On the large sieve*, Mathematika 12 (1965) 201-225.

[5] B. Crstici, D.S. Mitrinović, J. Sándor, *Handbook of number theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.

[6] S.W. Graham, G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's method of exponential sums*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ect., 1991.

[7] H.L. Montgomery, *Topics in multiplicative number theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.

[8] I. Niven, H.S. Zuckerman, H.L. Montgomery, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991.

[9] D. Wolke, *On the large sieve with primes*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 22 (1971/72) 239-247.

[10] L. Zhao, *Large sieve inequality with characters to square moduli*, Acta Arith. 112 (2004) 297-308.